# Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	)
	)
Revision of the Commission's Rules to Ensure	) CC Docket No. 94-102
Compatibility with Enhanced 911 Emergency	)
Calling Systems	)
	)
Request for Waiver by North Carolina RSA 3	)
Cellular Telephone Company d/b/a Carolina West	)
Wireless	)
	)
Request for Waiver by East Kentucky Network,	)
LLC d/b/a Appalachian Wireless	)

#### **ORDER**

Adopted: January 13, 2006 Released: January 13, 2006

By the Commission:

## I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. In this *Order*, we address requests for relief from the Commission's wireless Enhanced 911 (E911) Phase II requirements filed by North Carolina RSA 3 Cellular Telephone Company d/b/a Carolina West Wireless (Carolina West) and East Kentucky Network, LLC d/b/a Appalachian Wireless (Appalachian) (collectively, Petitioners), two Tier III wireless service providers. Specifically, Carolina West and Appalachian seek a twelve month and six month extension of time, respectively, to comply with the requirement in Section 20.18(g)(1)(v) of the Commission's Rules that carriers employing a handset-based E911 Phase II location technology must achieve 95% penetration, among their subscribers, of location-capable handsets by December 31, 2005.
- 2. Timely compliance with the Commission's wireless E911 rules ensures that the important public safety needs of wireless callers requiring emergency assistance are met as quickly as possible. In analyzing requests for extensions of the Phase II deadlines, the Commission has afforded relief only when

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Request for Limited Waiver and Extension of the Handset Penetration Deadline of the Commission's Phase II E911 Rules, CC Docket No. 94-102, filed Oct. 5, 2005 (Carolina West Request); Request for Limited Waiver and Extension of the Handset Penetration Deadline of the Commission's Phase II E911 Rules, CC Docket No. 94-102, filed Oct. 19, 2005 (Appalachian Request).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Tier III carriers are non-nationwide Commercial Mobile Radio Service (CMRS) providers with no more than 500,000 subscribers as of the end of 2001. *See* Revision of the Commission's Rules to Ensure Compatibility with Enhanced 911 Emergency Calling Systems; Phase II Compliance Deadlines for Non-Nationwide Carriers, CC Docket No. 94-102, *Order to Stay*, 17 FCC Rcd 14841, 14848 ¶ 22 (2002) (*Non-Nationwide Carriers Order*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 20.18(g)(1)(v).

the requesting carrier has met the Commission's standard for waiver of the Commission's rules.<sup>4</sup> Where carriers have met the standard, the relief granted has required compliance with the Commission's rules and policies within the shortest practicable time.<sup>5</sup> We are also mindful of Congress' directive in the ENHANCE 911 Act to grant waivers for Tier III carriers of the 95% penetration benchmark if "strict enforcement . . . would result in consumers having decreased access to emergency services."<sup>6</sup>

3. Pursuant to the ENHANCE 911 Act, and based on the record before us, we find that relief from the 95% penetration requirement is warranted subject to certain conditions described below. Specifically, we grant Carolina West an extension until December 31, 2006, and we grant Appalachian an extension until June 30, 2006, to achieve 95% penetration among their subscribers of location-capable handsets.<sup>7</sup>

### II. BACKGROUND

## A. Phase II Requirements

- 4. The Commission's E911 Phase II rules require wireless licensees to provide Public Safety Answering Points (PSAPs) with Automatic Location Identification (ALI) information for 911 calls. Licensees can provide ALI information by deploying location information technology in their networks (a network-based solution), or Global Positioning System (GPS) or other location technology in subscribers' handsets (a handset-based solution). The Commission's rules also establish phased-in schedules for carriers to deploy any necessary network components and begin providing Phase II service. However, before a wireless licensee's obligation to provide E911 service is triggered, a PSAP must make a valid request for E911 service, *i.e.*, the PSAP must be capable of receiving and utilizing the data elements associated with the service and must have a mechanism in place for recovering its costs. 12
- 5. In addition to deploying the network facilities necessary to deliver location information, wireless licensees that elect to employ a handset-based solution must meet the handset deployment benchmarks set forth in Section 20.18(g)(1) of the Commission's Rules, independent of any PSAP request for Phase II service.<sup>13</sup> After ensuring that 100% of all new digital handsets activated are location-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Revision of the Commission's Rules to Ensure Compatibility with Enhanced 911 Emergency Calling Systems; E911 Phase II Compliance Deadlines for Tier III Carriers, CC Docket No. 94-102, *Order*, 20 FCC Rcd 7709, 7709-7710 ¶ 1 (2005) (*Tier III Carriers Order*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> National Telecommunications and Information Administration Organization Act – Amendment, Pub. L. No. 108-494, 118 Stat. 3986 (2004). *See also infra* ¶ 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Because we find that relief from the 95% handset penetration requirement is warranted pursuant to the ENHANCE 911 Act, we need not determine whether either Carolina West or Appalachian met the Commission's waiver standard.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 20.18(e).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Network-based location solutions employ equipment and/or software added to wireless carrier networks to calculate and report the location of handsets dialing 911. These solutions do not require changes or special hardware or software in wireless handsets. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 20.3, *Network-based Location Technology*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Handset-based location solutions employ special location-determining hardware and/or software in wireless handsets, often in addition to network upgrades, to identify and report the location of handsets calling 911. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 20.3, *Location-Capable Handsets*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> See 47 C.F.R. §§ 20.18(f), (g)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 20.18(j)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 20.18(g)(1).

capable, licensees must achieve 95% penetration among their subscribers of location-capable handsets no later than December 31, 2005. 14

#### **B.** Waiver Standards

6. The Commission has recognized that smaller carriers may face "extraordinary circumstances" in meeting one or more of the deadlines for Phase II deployment. <sup>15</sup> The Commission previously has stated its expectations for requests for waiver of the E911 Phase II requirements. Waiver requests must be "specific, focused and limited in scope, and with a clear path to full compliance. Further, carriers should undertake concrete steps necessary to come as close as possible to full compliance ... and should document their efforts aimed at compliance in support of any waiver requests." To the extent that a carrier bases its request for relief on delays that were beyond its control, it must submit specific evidence substantiating the claim, such as documentation of the carrier's good faith efforts to meet with outside sources whose equipment or services were necessary to meet the Commission's benchmarks.<sup>17</sup> When carriers rely on a claim of financial hardship as grounds for a waiver, they must provide sufficient and specific factual information.<sup>18</sup> A carrier's justification for a waiver on extraordinary financial hardship grounds may be strengthened by documentation demonstrating that it has used its best efforts to obtain financing for the required upgrades from available Federal, state, or local funding sources. 19 The Commission also noted, in considering earlier requests for relief by Tier III carriers, that it

expects all carriers seeking relief to work with the state and local E911 coordinators and with all affected PSAPs in their service area, so that community expectations are consistent with a carrier's projected compliance deadlines. To the extent that a carrier can provide supporting evidence from the PSAPs or state or local E911 coordinators with whom the carrier is assiduously working to provide E911 services, this would provide evidence of its good faith in requesting relief.<sup>20</sup>

7. In applying the above criteria, the Commission has in the past recognized that special circumstances particular to smaller carriers may warrant limited relief from E911 requirements. For example, the Commission has noted that some Tier III carriers face unique hurdles such as significant financial constraints, small and/or widely dispersed customer bases, and large service areas that are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 20.18(g)(1)(v).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> See Tier III Carriers Order, 20 FCC Rcd at 7714 ¶ 9; Non-Nationwide Carriers Order, 17 FCC Rcd at 14846 ¶ 20 ("wireless carriers with relatively small customer bases are at a disadvantage as compared with the large nationwide carriers in acquiring location technologies, network components, and handsets needed to comply with our regulations"); Revision of the Commission's Rules to Ensure Compatibility with Enhanced 911 Emergency Calling Systems; E911 Compliance Deadlines for Non-Nationwide Tier III CMRS Carriers, CC Docket No. 94-102, Order to Stay, 18 FCC Rcd 20987, 20994 ¶ 17 (2003)(Order to Stay) ("under certain conditions, small carriers may face extraordinary circumstances in meeting one or more of the deadlines for Phase II deployment and [] relief may therefore be warranted").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Revision of the Commission's Rules to Ensure Compatibility with Enhanced 911 Emergency Calling Systems, CC Docket No. 94-102, *Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order*, 15 FCC Rcd 17442, 17458 ¶ 44 (2000) (*Fourth MO&O*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> See Order to Stay, 18 FCC Rcd at 20996-97 ¶ 25.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> See id. at 20997 ¶ 29. We note that the Commission generally is disinclined to find that financial hardship alone is a sufficient reason for an extension of the E911 implementation deadlines. Id.

<sup>19</sup> See id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Order to Stay, 18 FCC Rcd at 20997 ¶ 28.

isolated, rural or characterized by difficult terrain (such as dense forest or mountains), along with a corresponding reduced customer willingness to forgo existing handsets that may provide expanded range, but are not location-capable.<sup>21</sup> In evaluating requests for waiver from Tier III carriers, the Commission, therefore, has considered challenges unique to smaller carriers facing these circumstances.

8. Finally, distinct from the Commission's rules and established precedent regarding waivers of the E911 requirements, in December 2004 Congress enacted the Ensuring Needed Help Arrives Near Callers Employing 911 Act of 2004 (ENHANCE 911 Act). The ENHANCE 911 Act, *inter alia*, directs the Commission to act on any petition filed by a qualified Tier III carrier requesting a waiver of Section 20.18(g)(1)(v) within 100 days of receipt, and grant such request for waiver if "strict enforcement of the requirements of that section would result in consumers having decreased access to emergency services." <sup>23</sup>

# C. Requests for Waiver

- 9. Each Petitioner is a Tier III carrier that deployed a CDMA network throughout its service areas in 2004 while continuing to operate a legacy TDMA/analog network.<sup>24</sup> Both implemented a handset-based Phase II solution and met or exceeded all applicable handset sale and activation benchmark deadlines.<sup>25</sup> Each indicates, however, that it did not expect to be able to meet the December 31, 2005 deadline by which handset-based carriers must achieve 95% penetration among their subscribers of location-capable handsets. Specifically, Carolina West expected to reach 78.6% by December 31, 2005 and requests an additional twelve months, to December 31, 2006, to reach 95% penetration.<sup>26</sup> Appalachian projected that it would reach 90% by December 31, 2005 and seeks an extension of six months, to July 1, 2006, to reach 95% penetration.<sup>27</sup>
- 10. In support of their respective requests, Carolina West and Appalachian each asserts that it has engaged in "aggressive" promotional campaigns to market location-capable handsets, including targeted activities to encourage subscribers with TDMA and analog handsets to upgrade. They both argue that if the December 31, 2005 deadline is strictly enforced, they will be required to terminate service to customers with non-compliant phones, reducing their access to emergency services, or to implement a network-based solution that "would not work well" in their rural service areas. Carolina West and Appalachian each adds that, in certain portions of their service areas, "many" of their customers with analog or TDMA phones would be unable to make any calls at all, including to 911, if required to

<sup>21</sup> See Tier III Carriers Order, 20 FCC Rcd at 7718, 7719, 7726, 7732, 7736-7737 ¶¶ 17, 19, 37, 57, 70.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> National Telecommunications and Information Administration Organization Act – Amendment, Pub. L. No. 108-494, 118 Stat. 3986 (2004).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> *Id.* at § 107(a), 118 Stat. 3986, 3991. The ENHANCE 911 Act defines a "qualified Tier III carrier" as "a provider of commercial mobile service (as defined in section 332(d) of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 332(d)) that had 500,000 or fewer subscribers as of December 31, 2001." *Id.* at § 107(b), 118 Stat. 3986, 3991.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> See Carolina West Request at 2 (CDMA deployment complete in early 2004); Appalachian Request at 2 (CDMA deployment complete on July 15, 2004).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> See Carolina West Request at 2-3: Appalachian Request at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> See Carolina West Request at 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> See Appalachian Request at 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> See Carolina West Request at 3; Appalachian Request at 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> See Carolina West Request at 5; Appalachian Request at 5.

upgrade to location-capable CDMA phones.<sup>30</sup> Petitioners argue that, for these reasons, their requests meet the standard for relief under the ENHANCE 911 Act as well as the Commission's E911 waiver standards.<sup>31</sup>

### III. DISCUSSION

- 11. We believe that it is critical for all handset-based carriers to meet the final implementation deadline of December 31, 2005 for 95% location-capable handset penetration, if at all possible, in order to allow all stakeholders (including carriers, technology vendors, public safety entities, and consumers) to have greater certainty about when Phase II will be implemented and ensure that Phase II is fully implemented as quickly as possible. Absent Phase II location data, emergency call takers and responders must expend critical time and resources questioning wireless 911 callers to determine their location, and/or searching for those callers when the callers cannot provide this information. At the same time, however, the Commission has recognized that requests for waiver of E911 requirements may be justified, but only if appropriately limited, properly supported, and consistent with established waiver standards. Accordingly, when addressing requests for waiver of the 95% handset penetration deadline, we remain mindful that delay in achieving the required handset penetration level could impair the delivery of safety-of-life services to the public. We must also remain mindful, however, of Congress' directive in the ENHANCE 911 Act to grant Tier III waivers if strict enforcement would result in consumers having decreased access to emergency services.
- 12. Consistent with that directive, we find that certain of Carolina West's and Appalachian's customers using TDMA and analog phones would likely find it more difficult, and, at times, impossible to contact a PSAP in the "most isolated" parts of their respective service areas if those customers were forced to convert to digital CDMA handsets. It thus appears likely that strict enforcement of the December 31, 2005 deadline under these circumstances would impair the ability of certain 911 callers to reach emergency assistance, and "would result in consumers having decreased access to emergency services," within the meaning of the ENHANCE 911 Act, at least in some cases. We therefore conclude that relief from the December 31, 2005 deadline is warranted pursuant to the ENHANCE 911 Act. We also note that both requests are of limited duration. Accordingly, we grant the requested extensions subject to certain conditions and reporting requirements so that the Commission can effectively monitor each of the Petitioner's progress in meeting the 95% handset penetration benchmark. We emphasize that, in granting the full extensions requested by both Petitioners, we expect

<sup>35</sup> Pub. L. No. 108-494, § 107(a), 118 Stat. 3986, 3991. Because we make this finding, we do not address both Petitioners' arguments that, absent relief, they would need to implement network-based Phase II solutions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> See Carolina West Request at 5 ("Many of those persons [relying on TDMA and analog phones] are located in the most isolated, rural areas, in the more rugged terrain included within Carolina West Wireless' service area."); Appalachian Request at 5 ("Many of those persons [relying on TDMA and analog phones] are located in the most isolated and rugged service areas, and on remote farmland included within Appalachian Wireless' rural service area.").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> See Carolina West Request at 4-6; Appalachian Request at 4-6.

 $<sup>^{32}</sup>$  See Non-Nationwide Carriers Order, 17 FCC Rcd at 14853  $\P$  38.

 $<sup>^{33}</sup>$  See Tier III Carriers Order, 20 FCC Rcd at 7709-7710  $\P$  1; Non-Nationwide Carriers Order, 17 FCC Rcd at 14842-14843  $\P$  6.

 $<sup>^{34}</sup>$  See supra ¶ 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> We note that, according to its request, Carolina West indicates that it has received and timely fulfilled requests for Phase II service from all seven PSAPs within its service area. *See* Carolina West Request at 2. Appalachian states that it has received thirteen PSAP requests for Phase II service "but only nine have become capable of receiving and utilizing Phase II data." Appalachian Request at 4. We assume that Appalachian has obtained any necessary

Carolina West and Appalachian to achieve 95% penetration among their subscribers of location-capable handsets within the extended timeframes granted herein.

- 13. Conditions. As a condition of the relief granted herein, Carolina West and Appalachian have an ongoing obligation, until each achieves a 95% handset penetration rate among its subscribers of location-capable handsets, to (1) notify their customers, such as by billing inserts, of the status of PSAP requests for Phase II service, to the effect that by upgrading their handsets they will have the ability to automatically transmit their location information, and (2) actively work with the PSAPs to keep them informed of their progress in achieving higher location-capable handset penetration rates.
- 14. Reporting Requirements. Finally, in order to monitor compliance in accordance with the relief of the December 31, 2005 95% handset penetration requirement granted herein, we will require each of the Petitioners to file status reports. For Carolina West, status reports will be due every February 1, May 1, August 1, and November 1, until December 31, 2007; for Appalachian, status reports will be due every February 1, May 1, August 1, and November 1, until June 30, 2007. These reports shall include the following information: (1) the number and status of Phase II requests from PSAPs (including those requests it may consider invalid); (2) the dates on which Phase II service has been implemented or will be available to PSAPs served by its network; (3) the status of its coordination efforts with PSAPs for alternative 95% handset penetration dates; (4) its efforts to encourage customers to upgrade to location-capable handsets; (5) the percentage of its customers with location-capable phones; and (6) until each satisfies the 95% penetration rate, detailed information on its status in achieving compliance and whether it is on schedule to meet the revised deadline. We emphasize that irrespective of the relief we grant in this *Order*, we fully expect each of the Petitioners to achieve compliance as quickly as possible.

## IV. CONCLUSION

15. For the foregoing reasons and pursuant to the ENHANCE 911 Act, we conclude that both Petitioners are entitled to a limited extension of the December 31, 2005 requirement that they achieve 95% penetration among their subscribers of location-capable handsets. Specifically, we extend the date that Carolina West must achieve 95% penetration until December 31, 2006, and we extend the date that Appalachian must achieve 95% penetration until June 30, 2006. We further impose the conditions and reporting requirements described above to ensure that Carolina West and Appalachian achieve full compliance with the Commission's E911 requirements.

## V. ORDERING CLAUSES

- 16. IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to the ENHANCE 911 Act, Pub. L. No. 108-494, 118 Stat. 3986 (2004), and Sections 1.3 and 1.925 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.3, 1.925, that the foregoing *Order* IS ADOPTED.
- 17. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the Request for Limited Waiver and Extension of the Handset Penetration Deadline of the Commission's Phase II E911 Rules by North Carolina RSA 3 Cellular Telephone Company d/b/a Carolina West Wireless IS GRANTED, subject to the conditions and

20.18(j)(5). The Commission has not otherwise received any objections from the public safety community specific to the instant requests.

<sup>(...</sup>continued from previous page)
agreements with these requesting PSAPs for alternative Phase II service deployment schedules. See 47 C.F.R. §
20.18(i)(5). The Commission has not otherwise received any objections from the public safety community specifications.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> We note that we are requiring Petitioners to file status reports beyond the dates on which we otherwise require them to achieve 95% penetration among their subscribers of location-capable handsets. We believe it is important to continue monitoring the progress of both of the Petitioners for an additional year following each of their revised deadlines.

reporting requirements specified herein. The deadline for compliance with Section 20.18(g)(1)(v) will be December 31, 2006.

18. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the Request for Limited Waiver and Extension of the Handset Penetration Deadline of the Commission's Phase II E911 Rules by East Kentucky Network, LLC d/b/a Appalachian Wireless IS GRANTED, subject to the conditions and reporting requirements specified herein. The deadline for compliance with Section 20.18(g)(1)(v) will be June 30, 2006.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Marlene H. Dortch Secretary